



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,530	06/01/2000	PETER CHARLES FLORENCE	P/25-254	8653
2352	7590	03/27/2006	EXAMINER	
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			KIM, KEVIN	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2611		

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/486,530	FLORENCE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kevin Y. Kim	2638	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2006.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 34-37 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 17, 2006 has been entered.
  
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-19, 21, 24-27, 29-31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leung (US 5,444,697 previously cited).

Claim 1.

Leung teaches a communication apparatus, see Fig.1, comprising;  
means for receiving a modulated radio-frequency signal (input to 43),  
means for down-converting a received modulated radio-frequency signal (43, 52,  
53),  
means for digitizing a down-converted signal (60 especially 61, col. 8, lines 25-  
27), and  
means for exporting (60 to 70) at least a part of the digitized modulated signal  
(i.e., a QAM signal) to a main processor (72), which is inherently a processor since it is

located in a computer, in a personal computing device (70, col. 6, lines 27-29), the main processor being programmed to perform demodulation of the digitized modulated signal to obviate the need for a DSP (the demodulation of the QAM signal is performed by the element 72 in the PC 70, not the DSP 60; also note that QAM stands for Quadrature Amplitude Modulation, the decoding of a QAM signal is therefore essentially a demodulation process, which reverses the quadrature amplitude modulation process).

Claim 2.

Leung further teaches means for connection to at least one bus within the personal computing device (col. 6, lines 38-39).

Claim 4.

Leung also teaches means for dividing the signal with respect to time (63, 64 in Fig. 1).

Claim 6.

As shown in 53 and 61 of Fig. 1, a single down-converted signal is generated.

Claims 7-9.

Since Leung teaches an FM receiver (43 in Fig. 1) which requires the process of tuning, the claimed limitations such as means for altering the bandwidth and means for altering the center frequency are inherent (see the background section of the present application).

Art Unit: 2638

Claim 11.

Leung also teaches means for altering the amplitude (53 or 62).

Claims 12-14 and 16.

Leung also teaches using software to decode the received signal (col. 10, line 36). The claimed limitations are self evident in the domain of personal computer, especially when executing commands of the software.

Claims 17 and 18.

Leung teaches means for importing (10 to 20) a digital modulated signal from a main processor (11, 12, 13 where 11 is inherently a processor since it is located in a computer) in a personal computing device (10, col. 6, lines 27-29), modulation to generate the digital modulated signal having been performed by the main processor in the personal computing device to obviate the need for a DSP (the modulation of the QAM signal is performed by the element 11 in the PC 10, not the DSP 20, also note that QAM stands for Quadrature Amplitude Modulation, the encoding of a QAM signal is therefore essentially a modulation process), means for converting the digital modulated signal to an analog signal (20, especially 24), means for up-converting the analog signal to a radio frequency signal (30, 41) and means for transmitting the radio frequency signal (output of 41).

Claim 19.

Leung further teaches means for connection to at least one bus within the personal computing device (col. 6, lines 38-39).

Claim 21.

Leung also teaches means for assembling the signal with respect to time (21, 22 ).

Claim 24.

As shown in 24 and 31 of Fig. 1, the means for up-converting receives a single analog signal.

Claims 25-27.

Since Leung teaches an FM transmitter (41) which requires the process of tuning, the claimed limitations such as means for altering the bandwidth and means for altering the center frequency are inherent (see the background section of the present application).

Claim 29.

Leung also teaches means for altering the amplitude (23 or 31).

Claims 30 and 31.

Leung also teaches using software to process the to be transmitted (13 in Fig. 1). The claimed limitations are self evident in the domain of personal computer, especially when executing commands of the software.

Claim 33.

See the rationale applied to claims 30 and 31.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. Claims 3 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al, as applied to claims 2 and 19 respectively, in view of Hammer et al (U.S. 4,396,978 previously cited).

Leung teaches the claimed limitations (see the rationales applied to claims 1 and 18 above), but does not teach means for performing direct memory access with memory means located within the personal computing device. Hammer teaches providing a direct memory access unit to enable the transmission speed of the data to be increased (col. 1, lines 57-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use means for performing direct memory access unit to increase data transmission speed.

5. Claims 5, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al, as applied to claims 1 and 18 respectively, in view of Sugita et al. (U.S. 4,396,978 previously cited).

Claim 5.

Leung teaches the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 above, but does not particularly teach an in-phase down converted signal and a quadrature phase down converted signal inputting to the A/D conversion block 61. Sugita teaches, see Fig.2, a QAM/OFDM

Art Unit: 2638

system (col. 1, lines 28-31) having an in-phase A/D converter and a quadrature phase A/D converter (12, 13) that receive an in-phase down converted signal and a quadrature phase down converted signal, respectively. It is known a QAM signal has in-phase and quadrature components. Since the system of Leung is also a QAM/OFDM system (11, 72 in Fig. 1), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an in-phase A/D converter and a quadrature phase A/D converter into the A/D conversion block of Leung so as to process the in-phase and quadrature components.

Claims 22 and 23.

Leung teaches the claimed invention, as applied to claim 18 above, but does not particularly teach that the D/A conversion block 24 outputs an in-phase signal and a quadrature phase signal to the means for up-converting. Sugita teaches, in a QAM/OFDM system (col. 1, lines 28-31), an in-phase D/A converter and a quadrature phase D/A converter (D/A CONV. in Fig. 2) that output an in-phase signal and a quadrature phase signal, respectively, to the up-converter (the multipliers coupled to the LPF in Fig. 2). It is known a QAM signal has in-phase and quadrature components. Since the system of Leung is also a QAM/OFDM system (11, 72 in Fig. 1), it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an in-phase D/A converter and a quadrature phase D/A converter into the D/A conversion block of Leung so as to process the in-phase and quadrature components.

6. Claims 10 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Leung et al, as applied to claims 9 and 27 respectively, in view of Brajal et al. (U.S. 5,548,582 previously cited).

Leung teaches the claimed limitations (see the rationales applied to claims 1 and 18 above), but does not teach means for frequency hopping. Brajal teaches an OFDM frequency hopping system (abstract, col. 3, lines 3-6). It is known that the combination of OFDM with frequency hopping has the promise of providing bandwidth on demand and jamming resistance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the means for frequency hopping, as taught by Brajal for the advantage of jamming resistance.

7. Claims 15 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al. (U.S. 5,444,697).

Leung teaches the claimed limitations (see the rationales applied to claims 1 and 18 above), but does not teach that the apparatus is adapted for installation within the personal computing device. However, it would have been obvious to a person of integrate all of the components of Leung into the personal computing device for at least the advantage of space saving. It has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces involves only routine skill in the art. See Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claims 34-37 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammed Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 15, 2006

*Kevin Kim*

KEVIN KIM  
PATENT EXAMINER